Department which have been transferred to those industries which supply systems and subsystems to the Department of Space;

(d) whether Indian Industry has been able to utilise this technology and in some cases has effected reverse transfer of technology; and

(e) if so, what are the details of such cases?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE PRODUCTION AND SUPPLIES IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI SHIVRAJ PATIL): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) to (e) Upto the end of December 1986, 102 technologies in respect of products and processes developed by the Indian Space Research Organisation (ISRO) have been licensed to 45 industries. Nearly 75 per cent of these have been successfully productionised so far. The major industrial sectors to which ISRO technology transfers have been effected are Special Chemicals, Polymers, Materials and Composites, Electronics, Telecommunications and TV Systems, Precision electromechanical, Optomechanical and Electro-optic instruments, Microprocessor based systems, Special purpose Machines, Computer applications software, Electro-chemical processes and systems etc.

Indian Industry has contributed to the Indian Space Programme in many ways with the technology developed by them. This include areas like Solar Cells for spacecrafts, Micro Processor-based systems for ground stations, Tracking Radars in C-band, Welding of special alloys including Maraging Steel/High Strength Alloy Steel, Data Acquisition System, Thermovac Chamber for Space Simulation, Launch Service Towers, Fuel Elements, Precision Fabrication Techniques, Electronics Sub-systems including Printed Circuit Boards/Hybrid Circuits, etc.

**Enactment of Laws for Vacation of ex-Servicemen' Land and Houses**

2727. SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN : Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether any State Governments have enacted laws providing for vacation of land and houses of ex-servicemen on their retirement; if so, what are the names of those States;

(b) what steps the Central Government propose to take to ensure that the remaining States also enact similar laws;

(c) what action Government are taking to ensure that the Union Territories also enact similar laws; and

(d) whether the Central Government also propose to enact model laws in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI ARUN SINGH): (a) According to information available, legal provisions to enable ex-servicemen to get back their land for agricutural purposes exist in the States of Gujarat, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir Karnataka Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Tripura and Uttar Pradesh.

The provision in the States of Andhra Pradesh, Punjab, Tamil Nadu and West Bengal covers serving defence personnel only.

States which have made provision in their respective Rent Control Acts to enable ex-servicemen to get possession of their rented houses for *bonafide* use are Gujarat, Haryana, Jammu and Kashmir Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Punjab, Tripura, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal. Some provisions exist in the States of Himachal Pradesh, Orissa and Tamil Nadu.

(b) to (d) These are State subjects. However, the Central Government is

persuading the State Governments to make amendments to their Land Tenancy or Land Reform Acts and Rent Control Acts to enable ex-servicemen to resume possession of their lands and houses on retirement.

In so far as Land Tenancy Laws are concerned the matter was taken up in a conference of Revenue Ministers and Revenue Secretaries of States/Union Territories organised by the Department of Rural Development to make necessary provisions where they do not exist. It is reported that no difficulties are experienced in the States of Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland and Sikkim.

Regarding Union Territories, necessary provision has been made in the Union Territory of Pondicherry and Lakshadweep. No need has been felt in the case of Andaman & Nicobar islands. As regards Chandigarh, it has been intimated that there is no agricutural land in the Union Territory. No provision exists in the Union Territories of Delhi and Goa, Daman & Diu.

As far as Rent Control Acts are concerned, the matter had been taken up at Chief Minister's level in August '85. Subsequently in July '86 detailed suggestions for amendment to the Rent Control Acts were made to make adequate provisions. The matter was also discussed at the Kendriya Sainik Board meeting held on 10-12-1986 and model amendments to the Rent Control Act have been circulated to the States for taking necessary action. It is reported that there are no problems in the States of Arunachal Pradesh Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland and Sikkim.

As far as Union Territories are concerned, the Punjab amendment to the Rent Control Legislation has been extended to the Union Territory of Chandigarh. Amendments to the Delhi Rent Control Act are under examination by the Ministry of Urban Development. Necessary provision exists in the Rent Control Legisation of Union Territories of Goa, Daman and Diu and Pondicherry. There is no necessity for making such a provision in Andaman & Nicobar islands, Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Lakshadweep.

Since these matters relate to State subjects, model laws cannot be enacted by the Central Government.

**— Financial Assistance to New Spinning Mills**

2728. SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have taken a decision of not allowing financial assistance to new spinning mills in the country;

(b) if so, the date on which this decision was taken;

(c) whether it is a fact that there are many proposed cooperative spinning mills in the country in general and Maharashtra in particular to whom the letters of offers were given by Government much before this decision;

(d) if so, which are these spinning mills and the dates on which the letters of offers were given and the dates upto which the letters are valid;

(e) what are the specific reasons for denying financial assistance to these spinning mills;

(f) whether Government propose to direct NABARD to give permission to the Maharashtra State Cooperative Bank Ltd. to help the above mills from their resources; and

(g) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JANARDHAN POOJARI) : (a) to (g)